

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 3rd Qtr Calendar Year '07 versus 3rd Qtr Calendar Year '08

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 60 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 510) and "total decrease" in ADP (-1,808). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 510 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 1,808 ADP. Subtracting 510 from 1,808 produces the overall decrease of 1,298 between the third quarter of calendar year 2007 and the third quarter of calendar year 2008.
2. The Alameda County Sheriff's Department ADP increase of 183 is 35.9% of the total increase of 510. Twenty-four jurisdictions had increases (down to Placer County Sheriff's Department) with one jurisdiction reporting no increase (Yuba County Sheriff's Department). When you get to Placer County Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 510).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Kings County Sheriff's Department to Orange County Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 1,808 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Alameda County Sheriff's Department to Kern County Sheriff's Department) is 59.8%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for over 59% of the total ADP increase. Four jurisdictions (San Mateo County Sheriff's Department, Contra Costa County Sheriff's Department, Riverside County Sheriff's Department, and Orange County Sheriff's Department) account for over 44% of the decreases.